

Check for updates

Poor Stability of Li₂CO₃ in the Solid Electrolyte Interphase of a Lithium-Metal Anode Revealed by Cryo-Electron Microscopy

Bing Han, Zhen Zhang, Yucheng Zou, Kang Xu, Guiyin Xu, Hong Wang, Hong Meng, Yonghong Deng,* Ju Li,* and Meng Gu*

The solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) dictates the cycling stability of lithiummetal batteries. Here, direct atomic imaging of the SEI's phase components and their spatial arrangement is achieved, using ultralow-dosage cryogenic transmission electron microscopy. The results show that, surprisingly, a lot of the deposited Li metal has amorphous atomic structure, likely due to carbon and oxygen impurities, and that crystalline lithium carbonate is not stable and readily decomposes when contacting the lithium metal. Lithium carbonate distributed in the outer SEI also continuously reacts with the electrolyte to produce gas, resulting in a dynamically evolving and porous SEI. Sulfur-containing additives cause the SEI to preferentially generate Li_2SO_4 and overlithiated lithium sulfate and lithium oxide, which encapsulate lithium carbonate in the middle, limiting SEI thickening and enhancing battery life by a factor of ten. The spatial mapping of the SEI gradient amorphous (polymeric \rightarrow inorganic \rightarrow metallic) and crystalline phase components provides guidance for designing electrolyte additives.

B. Han, Z. Zhang, Y. Zou, Prof. H. Wang, Prof. Y. Deng, Prof. M. Gu Department of Materials Science and Engineering Southern University of Science and Technology Shenzhen 518055, China E-mail: dengyh@sustech.edu.cn; gum@sustech.edu.cn B Han, Prof. H. Meng Advanced Materials Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School Shenzhen 518055, China Dr. K. Xu Energy Storage Branch Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate US Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Rd, Adelphi, MD 20783, USA Dr. G. Xu, Prof. J. Li Department of Nuclear Science and Engineering and Department of Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139, USA E-mail: liju@mit.edu Prof. Y. Deng Key Laboratory of Energy Conversion and Storage Technologies (Southern University of Science and Technology) Ministry of Education Shenzhen 518055, China

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202100404.

DOI: 10.1002/adma.202100404

1. Introduction

Lithium metal in the body-centeredcubic (BCC) phase (Li_{BCC}) has long been regarded as the ultimate anode for rechargeable batteries, due to its high specific capacity (3860 mAh g⁻¹) and low redox potential (-3.040 V versus standard hydrogen electrode).^[1–9] The structural, chemical, electronic, and mechanical properties of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) play a critical role in determining the stability of the anode.^[10-12] The SEI is supposed to be a self-passivating layer that forms as the battery cycles, coating the anode and electronically insulating the electrolyte from the free electrons in the anode while remaining conductive to Li⁺ cations.^[13,14] Polyanion compounds such as lithium carbonate Li₂CO₃ and lithium sulfate Li₂SO₄ are long thought to be SEI

components that directly contact $\rm Li_{BCC},$ playing the role of the electronic insulator. $^{[15,16]}$ However, thermodynamically, the following reactions

 $25 \text{Li}_{BCC} + 6 \text{Li}_2 \text{CO}_3 = \text{Li}\text{C}_6 + 18 \text{Li}_2 \text{O}; \quad \Delta G = -2653 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (1)

 $8Li_{BCC} + Li_2SO_4 = Li_2S + 4Li_2O; \quad \Delta G = -1362 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (2)

have large driving forces for the decomposition of the polyanions toward the right-hand side (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information). Indeed, none of the well-known polyanion compounds, nitrate NO₃⁻, phosphate PO₄³⁻, silicate SiO₄⁴⁻, etc. appear to be thermodynamically stable in contact with Li_{BCC}, either. And when considering half-cell reaction like

 $25\text{Li}^+ (\text{electrolyte}) + 25\text{e}^- (\text{anode } U) + 6\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 = \text{LiC}_6 + 18\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (3)$

as the computed equilibrium voltage is around +1 V versus Li_{BCC} , one has to conclude that Li_2CO_3 and Li_2SO_4 should not be stable in direct contact with the graphite anode in conventional lithium-ion batteries either,^[17] wherever free electrons can tunnel to from the said anodes, as Li^+ (electrolyte) must be available right there for the charge-transfer reaction, by the definition of SEI as a nanoscale solid electrolyte.^[18] This means the SEI, if it contains lithium polyanion compounds, must be



ADVANCED MATERIALS

a nanocomposite with stable buffer phases near the Li metal. Because Li₂O and Li₂S are wide band-gap insulators, they are the more likely the "innermost" SEI components that electronically insulate the polyanionic compounds, if they exist. However, one of the decomposition products in Equation (1) and (3), LiC_6 , or more broadly LiC_x (x = 6,12, or other forms of lithiated carbon), are not electronically insulating, and will likely facilitate electron tunneling by tip-enhanced electron emission due to the extremely small radii of curvature of such metallic phases. So one naturally suspects that lithium carbonate Li₂CO₃ will not be a very stable SEI component, at least on the inside, compared to Li₂SO₄, since the right-hand side of Equation (1) could be electronically more conductive than the right-hand side of Equation (2). This is perhaps the reason that organic carbonate liquid electrolytes need to be supplemented by fluorineand sulfur-containing additives, to stop the SEI from thickening indefinitely due to LiC_x. The 0 V reductive stability of bulk solid electrolytes has long been of concern, and the same should also be true (if not more so) for nanoscale solid electrolyte components in the SEI. Also, it is known that the SEI on the anode surface is extremely sensitive to air and moisture, and undergoes a dynamic formation and rupture-repair process with the constant consumption of electrolyte and lithium ions during battery cycling. An intrinsically unstable SEI results in electrolyte depletion and the rapid "death" of a battery.^[19,20] For all the reasons above, we need clear atomic-scale imaging of the SEI.

Although techniques such as X-ray photoemission (spectroscopy) (XPS)^[21,22] can readily determine the rough chemical composition of the SEI, it lacks the spatial resolution and diffraction capability to distinguish phase structures at the nano- or atomic scale to draw accurate mechanistic conclusions with regard to electrolyte additives. Indeed, by mere chemical analyses, one would find it difficult to distinguish between Li2CO3 and "overlithiated Li₂CO₃," defined to be the mixture of the right-hand side of (1) plus retained Li₂CO₃ phase. Conventional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) can easily damage the SEI due to its vulnerability to the electron beam, moisture, and oxygen during the TEM sample preparation and sample transfer. Recently, atomic-scale characterization of SEI using cryogenic TEM (cryo-TEM) can identify the chemical phases and locations of the randomly distributed inorganic and organic ingredients at the atomic scale.^[23-27] In this work, we pushed cryo-TEM to its resolution limit with an ultralow electron-beam dosage and successfully imaged the native SEI on Li metal electrode at the atomic scale. We also conducted cryo-electron energy loss spectroscopy (cryo-EELS) mapping, giving us a comprehensive picture of the SEI's chemistry, and vital information about the influence of the electrolyte additive on the deposited lithiummetal morphology.^[28-31] With such high-resolution cryo-TEM (HRTEM) analysis, we reveal a clear gradient distribution of phases, including three types of amorphous phases: an outermost organic polymeric amorphous phase Polymer_{Amor}, an amorphous oxide-sulfide phase in the middle $\mathrm{Inorg}_{\mathrm{Amor}}$ and a metallic Li_{Amor} phase in the interior, with increasing electronic conductivity as one goes from the liquid electrolyte side to the Li_{BCC} side. Crystalline phases of Li₂CO₃, Li₂SO₄, Li₂O are dispersed within these amorphous phases. In this paper, we compare the SEI structure grown using three electrolytes-commercial pure carbonates (ethylene carbonate ((CH₂O)₂CO)-diethyl carbonate ((CH₃CH₂O)₂CO) (EC–DEC)) electrolyte without any additive, with 2% DTD (ethylene sulfate $C_2H_4O_4S$), or with 2% PS (1,3-propanesulfonate $C_3H_6O_3S$), respectively, revealing the poor stability of Li₂CO₃ as a solid electrolyte and the additives' influence on the SEI formation. It appears that sulfurcontaining electrolytes show superior performance because the "overlithiated Li₂SO₄," Li₂S+4Li₂O, on the inside, are much more electronically insulating. Also, Li₂SO₄ formed on the exterior of SEI appear to be much more stable than Li₂CO₃, and protect the crystalline Li₂CO₃ sandwiched in the middle, similar to how some bulk solid electrolytes with a narrow electrochemical stability window are protected in solid-state batteries.

2. Results and Discussion

In the coarse-scale cryo-TEM images in Figure 1a, the asformed lithium-metal dendrites are quite long (up to tens of micrometers), with diameters ranging from 100 nm to about 500 nm and atomic structures that can be either amorphous or crystalline. The selected area electron diffraction in Figure 1b shows the signature of bands of amorphous Li dendrites punctuated by crystalline Li_{BCC} diffraction spots, as marked by the white arrows. Therefore, the as-grown Li dendrites contain a portion of disordered amorphous Li metal phase, denoted as Li_{Amor}. Wang et al. reported that the glassy Li-metal formation is kinetically driven and related to the battery current density and deposition time.^[32] The high-resolution cryo-TEM image in Figure 1c shows a representative crystalline dendrite region with $(01\overline{1})_{\text{LiBCC}}$ lattice planes. In contrast, Figure 1d shows a representative amorphous dendrite region, with little crystalline islands (3-5 nm) distributed inside, which can be indexed to small Li2O crystals. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) analysis is consistent with the overall electron diffraction analysis, proving that both crystalline Li_{BCC} and amorphous Li_{Amor} are present in the as-grown dendrites. The origin of the amorphous Li metal phase may be attributed to chemical impurities, as monatomic Li metal should crystallize very easily, given that the electrodeposition occurred at 2/3 of Li_{BCC}'s bulk melting point and Li atom diffusion is known to be facile at room temperature.^[1] We speculate that carbon, oxygen or hydrogen on the order of 10 at% contribute to the amorphization of Li metal. These come from the in operando decomposition of the liquid electrolyte as Li^+ (electrolyte) + e⁻(anode U) = neutral Li atom is being deposited simultaneously. The crystallinity of our dendrites is seen to vary from region to region, thus such amorphization should depend on a number of factors such as deposition rates and additives. Despite the amorphous nature, Li_{Amor} should still be metallic. From now on, we will use Li_{Metal} to denote multiphase mixtures of Li_{BCC} + Li_{Amor} , and we speculate that the ratio of Li_{Amor} in Li_{Metal} likely reflects the imperfect barrier properties of the incipient SEI. Note that the SEI must spatially show "metal-insulator transition" as one scan across from the Li_{BCC} side to the liquid electrolyte side, and the kinetics of forming such a gradient structure is of great consequence for liquidelectrolyte-based batteries.

Cryo-TEM enables direct atomic-scale imaging of how the morphology and atomic structures of the Li_{Metal} and the SEI change when the liquid electrolyte used contains







Figure 1. a) Overall cryo-TEM view of the Li dendrites morphology deposited in commercial EC-based electrolytes. b) Electron diffraction pattern of the region labeled in white in (a). c) Representative cryo-HRTEM image of the crystalline Li dendrite region. d) Representative cryo-HRTEM image of the disordered amorphous Li dendrite region. e,h) Cryo-TEM images of lithium dendrites deposited and enlarged view of the selected SEI part in the commercial EC-based electrolytes. f,i) The same in the PS-containing electrolytes. g,h) The same in the DTD-containing electrolytes. Images are obtained at an electron dosage $\approx 100 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-2}$.

different additives. In the presence of common carbonate electrolyte without additives, the lithium dendrite growth is more directional (Figure 1e) and the SEI layer is thick and porous (Figure 1e–h). However, in the presence of electrolyte with DTD additives, the lithium dendrite growth is more isotropic (Figure 1g) and the SEI layer is thinner, less porous and more uniform (Figure 1j). Figure 1e is a cryo-TEM image of lithium dendrites deposited in a commercial EC-based electrolyte without additives (EC/DEC = 1:1, 1.0 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆). The SEI

shows a porous structure that is possibly caused by the CO₂ gas release^[33] during the SEI-formation process. The magnified view in Figure 1h shows that the SEI layer is quite nonuniform, with an outermost bubble-like amorphous organic layer (up to \approx 30 nm in thickness) on top of a crystalline layer with dark diffraction contrast. The thickness of the inner, inorganic SEI layer ranges from \approx 20 to \approx 30 nm. Figure 1f–i is cryo-TEM images of lithium dendrites deposited in the PS-containing electrolyte (EC/DEC+ 2% PS). In addition, Figure 1i shows that the SEI



ADVANCED MATERIALS



Figure 2. a) Performance of Li_{Metal}|Li_{Metal} symmetric cells at a current density of 1 mA cm⁻² using different electrolytes. b) Voltage profile of symmetric Li–Li cells with DTD/PS/BLANK electrolyte at 20–26 h. c) Voltage profile of symmetric Li–Li cells with DTD/PS electrolyte at 200–206 h.

formed in the modified electrolyte is more uniform (Figure 1h). Further, the 35 nm thick SEI layer formed in the PS-containing and DTD-containing electrolyte shows only a very thin organic layer Polymer_{Amor} of approximately a few nanometer on top of the dark inorganic layer on the Li_{Metal}. The Li_{Metal} protrusions formed in DTD are round-shaped (Figure 1g), in sharp contrast to the strip-shaped Li_{Metal} dendrites in PS (Figure 1f). This clearly demonstrates that DTD additives can inhibit the directional growth of Li_{Metal}. Zachman et al. reported the finding of crystalline lithium hydride in the Li dendrite.^[23] However, we did not observe clear signature of such LiH crystalline phase based on statistical HRTEM analysis of tens of different regions at the Li dendrites in all three samples.

Because the DTD-containing electrolyte visibly contributes to a more stable SEI and suppression of lithium dendrite growth and gas bubble evolution, we hoped to correlate our structural findings with battery performance. To this end, we assembled and tested symmetric $\text{Li}_{\text{Metal}}|\text{Li}_{\text{Metal}}|$ cells with three kinds of electrolytes (DTD/PS/BLANK), as shown in **Figure 2**a. The cell with BLANK electrolyte (EC/DEC with no additives) deteriorates quickly, even within 20 h (Figure 2a,b); and the cell impedance diverged and experienced catastrophic failure after 50 h of cycling. In contrast, the $\text{Li}_{\text{Metal}}|\text{Li}_{\text{Metal}}|$ symmetric cell with DTD exhibited highly reversible lithium stripping/plating for over 500 h. The cycling stability and voltage polarization of the DTD cell even outperformed the PS cell, which retained stability and polarization for 350 h. It is noteworthy that cell life is the greatest at the lowest polarization, as shown in Figure 2a–c. Cryo-TEM analysis clearly demonstrates that a stable, uniform, and thin SEI layer corresponds to stable cycling performance of the lithium-metal battery. By fitting the impedance with an equivalent circuit in the EIS analysis in Figure S1 (Supporting Information), the semicircle yields a resistance of the battery of 70, 150, 250 Ω in the third cycle with DTD additive, PS additive, and blank electrolyte, respectively.

Having shown that the dendrite morphology varies significantly using different electrolytes, we more closely observe the role of the SEI layer in extending the cycle life of Li-metal batteries. To carefully examine the atomic structures of the inorganic layer in the SEI, we performed atomic-resolution cryo-TEM imaging of the SEI in the blank EC/DEC electrolyte (**Figure 3**). Fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern analysis (matching the component's crystal plane spacing to known crystals) reveals that the crystalline parts of SEI contain mainly lithium carbonate and Li₂O (Figure 3a). Cryo-HRTEM imaging (Figure 3b) further shows that the top layer of the SEI comprised crystalline Li₂CO₃ (blue-dotted region) and the bottom layer comprised crystalline Li₂O (red-dotted region), mingling





Figure 3. Cryo-TEM images and elemental compositions of SEI structure in the blank (EC/DEC) electrolyte acquired using electron dosage \approx 100 e Å⁻².^[2] a) Phase map of the SEI. b) Magnified HRTEM image of the area marked by the black square in (a). c,d) HRTEM and FFT of Li₂CO₃ crystal. e,f) HRTEM and FFT of Li₂O crystal. g) EELS elemental mapping shows the distribution of lithium (purple), oxygen (red), carbonate (blue), and composite mapping of the lithium dendrites. h) The EELS of carbon (C) *K*-edge acquired from Li_{Metal} to SEI surface in (EC/DEC) electrolyte, respectively.

with amorphous regions $Polymer_{Amor}+Inorg_{Amor}$ highlighted in white. The region indicated by the blue-dashes (Figure 3c) is lithium carbonate with identifiable $(\overline{110})_{Li_2CO_3}$, and $(002)_{Li_2CO_3}$ crystal planes in the corresponding FFT pattern (Figure 3d). The red-dash region (Figure 3e) comprised Li₂O with identifiable (111) $_{Li_2O}$ crystal planes (Figure 3g).

In order to more accurately observe the gradient distribution of Li_{Metal} , crystalline Li_2CO_3 , Li_2O , and the spatially percolating Polymer_Amor+Inorg_Amor} we performed cryo-EELS. From Figure 3g and Figure S2, Supporting Information, we observe that carbon is distributed with higher concentration in the outside layer of the SEI, while oxygen is distributed in all parts of the SEI. Figure S2 (Supporting Information) shows the fine structures of the Li and O *K*-edge acquired from the center of the dendrite to SEI surface, respectively. The Li *K*-edge spectrum of the lithium has a major peak starting at 60 eV and a minor peak starting at 65 eV. The outer surface of the SEI has significant O *K*-edge intensity. Meanwhile, the Li *K*-edge

www.advancedsciencenews.com





Figure 4. a) Cryo-HRTEM images of SEI in (EC/DEC+ 2%DTD) electrolyte. b,c) HRTEM and FFT of Li_2SO_4 crystal. d,e) Li_2CO_3 crystal. f,g) Li_2O crystal. h) EELS elemental mapping of lithium (purple) in the lithium dendrites. Oxygen (red), carbon (blue), and sulfur (yellow) in the lithium dendrites. i) Composite map of carbon and sulfur; oxygen and carbon. j) The EELS of S and C K-edge scanning from Li_{Metal} to SEI surface. Please note that the HRTEM images are acquired using the same electron dosage of ≈ 100 e Å⁻².^[2]

spectrum of the surface is weak compared to the Li_{Metal} region. The C K-edge spectrum (Figure 3h) has a major broad peak at 290 eV, implying that the carbon is likely Li_2CO_3 . The EELS are consistent with our cryo-TEM imaging and diffraction results, confirming that lithium carbonate is located in the outer part and lithium oxide likely resides at the bottom of the SEI when no additives are added in the electrolyte. Judging from the EELS mapping, the spatially percolating amorphous zones contain Li, O, and possible C elements. The over-lithiated Li_2CO_3 products (Li₂O plus LiC_x) possibly make up the amorphous Inorg_{Amor} in the SEI in direct contact with Li_{Metal}, as highlighted in white in Figure 3a.

The distributions of Li_2O and Li_2CO_3 crystals in SEI vary significantly with additives. The SEI formed in EC/DEC with DTD additive is carefully probed by cryo-TEM and EELS, as shown in **Figure 4**. The lattice-resolution TEM and corresponding FFT

analysis proved that the SEI contains Li2CO3, Li2SO4, and Li2O crystals. The cryo-TEM image of SEI depicts that the top layer is mostly Li₂O (red region); the middle layer is predominantly Li₂SO₄ (yellow region) on top of Li₂CO₃ (blue region). Magnified images of the blue region (Figure 4d) show clearly crystalline grains that are identified to be lithium carbonate with its $(\overline{1}10)_{\text{Li2CO3}}$ crystal planes observed in FFT (Figure 4e). HRTEM images of the yellow region (Figure 4b) are large pieces of Li₂SO₄ crystal with observed (220)_{Li2SO4} and (111)_{Li2SO4} diffraction spots (Figure 4c). The (111) crystal planes of Li₂O are identified (Figure 4f,g) as well. Beneath the Li₂CO₃, there is another layer of Li2O and inorganic amorphous InorgAmor in contact with the Li_{Metal}, which helps stabilize the whole SEI and provide barrier against electron tunnelling. The InorgAmor zone likely contains the over-lithiated Li₂CO₃ (right-hand side of reaction (1)) and over-lithiated Li₂SO₄ (right-hand side of reaction (2)) products, Li_xO , Li_xS , and LiC_x in amorphous atomic structure, judging from the content identified by EELS. In order to probe the distribution of lithium sulfate, carbonate, and oxide at larger scale, we used EELS mapping by tracking the K edges of Li, O, C, and L edge of S at the lithium dendrite with nanoscale resolution in Figure 4h-j. Figure 4j and S3 (Supporting Information) exhibits the fine structures of the Li, C, S, and O-K edge acquired from Li_{Metal} to SEI surface, respectively. Similarly, the Li K-edge spectrum in SEI shows oxidized feature, which is consistent with the pronounced O-K edge intensity. The C K-edge spectrum (Figure 4j) exhibits the Li2CO3 signature fine structures. From the C and S peak intensity at different spots, the Li₂SO₄ seems to be distributed more in the top part of the SEI than Li₂CO₃, which is consistent with HRTEM results. The DTD reacts in the electrolyte and generates Li₂SO₄, which can cover up some Li₂CO₃ crystalline phases. The Li₂SO₄ layer and Li₂O appear to inhibit the further reaction between lithium carbonate and the electrolyte, resulting in a stable SEI and better cycling stability. Based on statistical HRTEM analysis of a number of locations, we did not locate crystalline Li2S lattices. However, we detected S L edge from the Inorg_{Amor} phase region in the SEI as shown in Figure S5 (Supporting Information), therefore, we speculate that amorphous Li_xS probably exists in the percolating amorphous regions.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____ www.advancedsciencenews.com

In the PS-containing electrolyte, the SEI layer is a mosaicpatterned structure as observed in the cryo-HRTEM image in Figure 5a. Using FFT analysis in Figure 5b-g, the SEI contains crystalline Li2CO3, Li2SO4, Li2O, and inorganic amorphous zones. The distribution of lithium oxide and carbonate is less ordered compared to that in the DTD-containing electrolyte. Cryo-HRTEM shows that the top layer contains Li₂CO₃ (blue region) and Li₂O (red region), while the Li₂SO₄ (yellow region) crystals do not completely shield the Li₂CO₃ crystals. There is still a portion of lithium carbonate crystals formed in the outer layer of SEI, inducing gas formation and constantly destabilizing the SEI mechanically. The Li metal region also features a coexistence of both crystalline Li_{BCC} phase and Li_2O phase as circled in red. The EELS mapping displays the distribution of lithium, oxygen, sulfur, and carbon in Figure 5h. The fine structures of the Li, C, S, and O K-edge acquired at the SEI are exhibited in Figure 5j and Figure S4 (Supporting Information), which also indicates the formation of Li₂O, Li₂CO₃, and Li₂SO₄. Comparing the S and C signals in Figure 5j, Li₂SO₄ randomly mixes with the Li_2CO_3 , which is consistent with the cryo-TEM results. The major drawback of PS-containing electrolyte is the existence of a significant portion of naked Li_2CO_3 located in the outer SEI layer, resulting in a worse battery cycling stability than the DTD-containing electrolyte. The highlighted amorphous zones in Figure 5a containing significant Li, O, and C EELS signals likely result from the decomposition of overlithiated Li_2CO_3 and electrolyte.

The SEI in the blank electrolyte is dynamically changing, which constantly consumes the cyclable lithium and electrolyte inventories (**Figure 6**a–c). Calculations predict that the electrolyte molecules decompose to form lithium ethylene dicarbonate Li₂C₄H₄O₆ (LEDC), CO₂, Li₂CO₃, and Li₂O in the presence of excess Li atoms from Li_{Metal} during the initial stages of SEI formation.^[18] The CO₂ gas increases the porosity of the as-formed SEI on Li_{Metal} and reduces its passivation power. The Li₂CO₃ contacting Li_{Metal} produced the overlithiated phases, Li₂O and LiC_x, on the surface of Li_{Metal}. These reactions allow SEI layer to continuously increase in thickness, which reduces the cycle life of the battery.

In comparison, with sulfur-containing additives (PS and DTD), the resulting SEI became more stable and the consumption of electrolyte decreased (Figure 6d-f). The addition of DTD produces Li₂SO₄ in addition to LEDC, Li₂O, and Li_2CO_3 . The Li_2SO_4 and Li_2O can shield lithium carbonate and inhibit further decomposition of lithium carbonate on the top surface. While the Li₂SO₄ contacting Li_{Metal} produced the overlithiated products of crystalline Li₂O and amorphous Li_xS as a bottom buffer layer. As a result, the well-covered lithium carbonate in the middle of the SEI remains intact. The resulting SEI is thin and uniform due to the protective effect of Li₂SO₄. In comparison, the amount of Li₂SO₄ produced by PS is less than DTD, as a result, its protective effect on lithium carbonate is not as strong. The SEI with PS and blank electrolyte has only partial coverage of Li₂CO₃ on the surface.

The first stage of the SEI formation reactions is the formation of the polyanion inorganic compounds as intermediates; while the second stage is the further decomposition of these polyanion compounds that are directly exposed to Li_{Metal} or electrolyte as shown by Figure 6. Overlithiated Li₂CO₃ and Li₂SO₄ leads to the formation of Li₂O, LiC_x, and Li_xS when contacting with the Li metal. (This may also cause C, O, H, S impurities diffusion into the Li metal and thus the observed amorphous Li metal phase). LiC_x is electrically conductive, which diminishes the stability of the SEI due to electron channeling. In comparison, overlithiated Li₂SO₄ forms Li_xS and Li₂O, which are electronic insulators and prevent electron channeling, stabilizing the sandwiched Li₂CO₃ in the middle. Li₂SO₄ is a stable blanket on top of Li₂CO₃ as well to stop its reaction with electrolyte. Therefore, we believe the Li₂SO₄ is a more desirable component than Li₂CO₃ in the SEI. The Li₂CO₃ is very "fragile" as found by our experiment and has to be wrapped by other phases for protection. Thus, desirable electrolyte additive should contain higher amount of sulfur. The SEI formed with DTD ($C_2H_4O_4S$) additive is better than PS ($C_3H_6O_3S$) additive due to more Li₂SO₄ and less Li₂CO₃ production, attributable to its higher sulfur content (the atomic percentage of sulfur in the $DTD(C_2H_4O_4S)$ and PS ($C_3H_6O_3S$) is 9% and 7.7%, respectively).

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____





Figure 5. Cryo-HRTEM images of SEI structure in (EC/DEC+2% PS) Electrolyte. a) HRTEM image of the SEI on lithium dendrites acquired using electron dosage \approx 100 e Å⁻².^[2] b,c) HRTEM and FFT of Li₂SO₄ crystal. d,e) Li₂CO₃ crystal. f,g) Li₂O crystal. h) EELS elemental mapping shows the distribution of lithium (purple), oxygen (red), sulfur (yellow), and carbonate (blue) in the lithium dendrites. Due to increased thickness, the middle region of the dendrite has less EELS signal. i) The combination of carbonate, oxygen and sulfur EELS elemental mapping. j) The EELS of S and C K-edge acquired from Li_{Metal} to SEI surface.

Yet, the PS additive is still better than the blank EC–DEC electrolyte due to some content of sulfur that offers protection for the fragile Li_2CO_3 , which we have observed also but

has dubious barrier properties. In addition, our experimental observation of $\rm Li_2CO_3$ in the middle or outer layer of the SEI (but not directly contacting $\rm Li_{Metal}$) suggests that $\rm Li_2CO_3$ further



ADVANCED MATERIALS



Figure 6. a–c) Schematic of SEI formation on the Li_{Metal} electrode with blank electrolyte, due to the continuous decomposition of LEDC and Li_2CO_3 , lots of lithium oxide remains on the surface of the Li_{Metal} . The Li_2CO_3 decompose once touched Li_{Metal} surface. The partial exposure of the Li_{Metal} leads to the continuous reaction. d–f) SEI formation using the DTD electrolyte additive greatly expands the stability of SEI. DTD produces Li_2SO_4 , which cover lithium carbonate and inhibit the decomposition of lithium carbonate and electrolyte.

away from ${\rm Li}_{\rm Metal}$ does not react with ${\rm Li}_{\rm Metal}$ likely due to slow electron transfer kinetics.

There are amorphous organic and amorphous inorganic components in the SEI. The amorphous organic polymeric phases are mechanically resilient upon Li metal stripping and plating.^[34] The amorphous inorganic components consist of both ceramic-like Inorg_{Amor}, and metallic Li_{Amor}. Similar percolating composite SEIs including inorganic phases of Li2O/LiF randomly distributing inside a polymer matrix are also observed in 1,3-dioxolane/1,2-dimethoxyethane based electrolyte, which ensures the stable cycling of Li-metal batteries. The optimal SEI should have Li2O, LiF, and overlithiated Li2SO4 to separate the Li₂CO₃ components from directly touching Li metal. The ionic conductivity of Li⁺ in the nanocrystalline Li₂O, Li₂SO₄, Li₂CO₃, and percolating amorphous phases that make up the SEI,^[35] plus interfacial diffusion along the grain boundaries and phase boundaries, should be further investigated. Reports showed that the space charge effect along the interfaces of these nanocrystalline inorganic phases can sometime generate a higher ionic carrier concentration and improves the ionic conductivity.^[35,36] The success of the DTD additive is attributed to the formation of stable SEI with a gradient distribution of the organic and inorganic components that have high mechanical and chemical stability. In particular, a gradient amorphous

matrix (polymeric \rightarrow inorganic \rightarrow metallic) with nanocrystalline embedded phases of Li_2SO₄, Li_2CO₃, Li_2O appear to be a quite general structural model.

3. Conclusions

To understand both the electronic insulation and percolating Li⁺ transport across the SEI components, we first need to accurately determine the nanoscale phase distribution in the SEI for different electrolyte formulations. Using aberration-corrected cryo-TEM, we captured atomic-scale pictures showing the composition and exact distribution of SEI components on the Limetal anode. HRTEM reveals that the Li₂O or the overlithiated amorphous phase (LiO_x, LiC_x, Li_xS) always buffer the Li₂CO₃, and Li₂SO₄ from directly contacting the Li_{Metal}. The content of sulfur in the additive and resulting Li₂SO₄ crystals are desirable for a stable SEI on Li_{Metal}, which dictates the cyclability of the battery. Without additives, the naked Li₂CO₃ in the outer SEI layer continuously react with the electrolyte, resulting in bad cycling performance. However, the addition of sulfur-containing DTD additive produces large amounts of Li2SO4. It and its overlithiated products (Li₂O and amorphous Li_xS and Li_xO) can securely sandwich Li₂CO₃ in the middle. Our study reveals SCIENCE NEWS _____ www.advancedsciencenews.com

DVANCED



how sulfur-containing additives work, and we have new directions for better electrolyte design that specifically targets the amorphous and crystalline components architecture in the SEI.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (2020B090919001), Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (Grant No. KQTD20190929173815000), Guangdong Innovative and Entrepreneurial Research Team Program (Grant No. 2019ZT08C044), National Natural Science Foundation of China (21802065, 22078144), Shenzhen DRC project [2018]1433, Key Laboratory of Energy Conversion and Storage Technologies (Southern University of Science and Technology). This work was performed at the Pico and Cryo-TEM Center at SUSTech Core Research Facility that receives support from Presidential fund and Development and Reform Commission of Shenzhen Municipality. J.L. acknowledges support by NSF CBET-2034902. M.G. would like to thank Peiyi Wang from the Cryo-TEM center at SUStech for his important support.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

B.H. and Z.Z. contributed equally to this work. M.G. and J.L. designed and supervised the experiment. B.H., Z.Z., Y. Z. carried out the cryo-TEM and battery testing; M.G., B.H., J. L., Z.Z. analyzed the data; M.G., J.L. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed and contributed to editing of the paper.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords

cycling stability, Li-metal anodes, Li-metal batteries, low-dose imaging, solid electrolyte interphase

Received: January 16, 2021 Revised: February 24, 2021

- Published online: April 25, 2021
- Y. Chen, Z. Wang, X. Li, X. Yao, C. Wang, Y. Li, W. Xue, D. Yu, S. Y. Kim, F. Yang, A. Kushima, G. Zhang, H. Huang, N. Wu, Y.-W. Mai, J. B. Goodenough, J. Li, *Nature* **2020**, *578*, 251.

- [2] J. B. Goodenough, Y. Kim, Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 587.
- [3] W. Xu, J. Wang, F. Ding, X. Chen, E. Nasybulin, Y. Zhangad, J.-G. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, 7, 513.
- [4] M. D. Tikekar, S. Choudhury, Z. Tu, L. A. Archer, Nat. Energy 2016, 1, 16114.
- [5] D. Lin, Y. Liu, Y. Cui, Nat. Nanotechnol. 2017, 12, 194.
- [6] X. B. Cheng, R. Zhang, C. Z. Zhao, Q. Zhang, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 10403.
- [7] P. G. Bruce, S. A. Freunberger, L. J. Hardwick, J. M. Tarascon, Nat. Mater. 2011, 11, 19.
- [8] M. Armand, J. M. Tarascon, *Nature* **2008**, *451*, 652.
- [9] M. Li, J. Lu, Z. Chen, K. Amine, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1800561.
- [10] C. Yang, K. Fu, Y. Zhang, E. Hitz, L. Hu, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1701169.
- [11] D. Aurbach, J. Power Sources 2000, 89, 206.
- [12] B. Philippe, R. Dedryvère, M. Gorgo, H. Rensmo, D. Gonbeau, K. Edström, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9829.
- [13] M. B. Pinson, M. Z. Bazant, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2012, 160, A243.
- [14] R. Younesi, G. M. Veith, P. Johansson, K. Edström, T. Vegge, Energy Environ. Sci. 2015, 8, 1905.
- [15] D. Aurbach, M. L. Daroux, P. W. Faguy, E. Yeager, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1987, 134, 1611.
- [16] D. Aurbach, Y. Ein-Ely, A. Zaban, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1994, 141, L1.
- [17] T. Liu, L. Lin, X. Bi, L. Tian, K. Yang, J. Liu, M. Li, Z. Chen, J. Lu, K. Amine, K. Xu, F. Pan, Nat. Nanotechnol. 2019, 14, 50.
- [18] K. Leung, F. Soto, K. Hankins, P. B. Balbuena, K. L. Harrison, J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 6302.
- [19] K. Xu, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 4303.
- [20] A. J. Smith, J. C. Burns, X. Zhao, D. Xiong, J. R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2011, 158, A447.
- [21] B. Philippe, R. Dedryvère, M. Gorgoi, H. Rensmo, D. Gonbeau, K. Edström, Chem. Mater. 2013, 25, 394.
- [22] B. Philippe, R. Dedryvère, J. Allouche, F. Lindgren, M. Gorgoi, H. Rensmo, D. Gonbeau, K. Edström, *Chem. Mater.* 2012, 24, 1107.
- [23] M. J. Zachman, Z. Tu, S. Choudhury, L. A. Archer, L. F. Kourkoutis, *Nature* **2018**, *560*, 345.
- [24] X. Wang, M. Zhang, J. Alvarado, S. Wang, M. Sina, B. Lu, J. Bouwer, W. Xu, J. Xiao, J. Zhang, J. Liu, Y. S. Meng, *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 7606.
- [25] Y. Li, Y. Li, A. Pei, K. Yan, Y. Sun, C.-L. Wu, L.-M. Joubert, R. Chin, A. Koh, Y. Yu, J. Perrino, B. Butz, S. Chu, Y. Cui, *Science* **2017**, *358*, 506.
- [26] E. Peled, J. Electrochem. Soc. **1979**, 126, 2047.
- [27] E. Peled, D. Golodnitsky, G. Ardel, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1997, 144, L208.
- [28] J. Alvarado, M. A. Schroeder, T. P. Pollard, X. Wang, J. Z. Lee, M. Zhang, T. Wynn, M. Ding, O. Borodin, Y. S. Meng, K. Xu, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2019, *12*, 780.
- [29] Y. Yang, Y. Yin, D. M. Davies, M. Zhang, M. Mayer, Y. Zhang, E. S. Sablina, S. Wang, J. Z. Lee, O. Borodin, C. S. Rustomji, Y. S. Meng, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2020**, *13*, 2209.
- [30] Y. Xu, H. Wu, Y. He, Q. Chen, J.-G. Zhang, W. Xu, C. Wang, Nano Lett. 2020, 20, 418.
- [31] L. Suo, Y.-S. Hu, H. Li, M. Armand, L. Chen, *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1481.
- [32] X. Wang, G. Pawar, Y. Li, X. Ren, M. Zhang, B. Lu, A. Banerjee, P. Liu, E. J. Dufek, J.-G. Zhang, J. Xiao, J. Liu, Y. S. Meng, B. Liaw, *Nat. Mater.* **2020**, *19*, 1339.
- [33] Y. Bi, T. Wang, M. Liu, R. Du, W. Yang, Z. Liu, Z. Peng, Y. Liu, D. Wang, X. Sund, RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 19233.
- [34] J. Wang, W. Huang, A. Pei, Y. Li, F. Shi, X. Yu, Y. Cui, Nat. Energy 2019, 4, 664.
- [35] R. Guo, B. M. Gallant, Chem. Mater. 2020, 32, 5525.
- [36] Q. Zhang, J. Pan, P. Lu, Z. Liu, M. Verbrugge, B. W. Sheldon, Y.-T. Cheng, Y. Qi, X. Xiao, *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 2011.

© 2021 Wiley-VCH GmbH

ADVANCED MATERIALS

Supporting Information

for Adv. Mater., DOI: 10.1002/adma.202100404

Poor Stability of Li₂CO₃ in the Solid Electrolyte Interphase of a Lithium-Metal Anode Revealed by Cryo-Electron Microscopy

Bing Han, Zhen Zhang, Yucheng Zou, Kang Xu, Guiyin Xu, Hong Wang, Hong Meng, Yonghong Deng,* Ju Li,* and Meng Gu* **Supplementary Information:**

Poor Stability of Li₂CO₃ in the Solid Electrolyte Interphase of a Lithium-Metal Anode Revealed by Cryo-Electron Microscopy

Bing Han^{†,1,2}, Zhen Zhang^{†,1}, Yucheng Zou¹, Kang Xu³, Guiyin Xu⁴, Hong Wang¹, Hong Meng², Yonghong Deng^{1,*}, Ju Li^{4,*}, Meng Gu^{1,*}

¹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

²Advanced Materials, Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen, 518055 China

³Energy Storage Branch, Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate, US Army Research Laboratory, 2800 Powder Mill Rd., Adelphi, Maryland 20783, USA

⁴Department of Nuclear Science and Engineering and Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence to: yhdeng08@163.com(Y. Deng); gum@sustech.edu.cn (M. Gu); liju@mit.edu (J. Li)

Characterizations

Under air and room temperature condition, SEI is very reactive and corrodes. Under a TEM electron beam especially, the Li ion extremely unstable and easy to escape from an ionic crystal or make it amorphous orientation due to the electron beam knock-on damage, radiolysis, charging and heating. This makes it impossible for us to observe. To overcome these challenges, we developed super-low-dose and cryogenic-TEM techniques. Coin cells (CR2032 type) were assembled in an argon-filled glove box, using Li metal as the counter and reference electrode, and a Cu foil as the working electrode (meanwhile we need place the Cu TEM grid on the Cu foil). The commercial electrolyte used was 1.0 M LiPF6 in 1:1 EC/DEC. In experiments with

electrolyte additive, 1.0 M LiPF6 in 98 vol% 1:1 EC/DEC with 2 vol% PS/DTD was used. All coin cells were made with 25uL of electrolyte volume. For Li metal deposition, a negative areal current density of 1.0 mA/cm² was applied for 1h, resulting in an areal capacity of 1 mAh/cm². Then batteries were disassembled in an argon-filled glovebox. The Cu working electrode was washed briefly with DMC to remove residual Li salts on the grid and drying in a vacuum oven. The remaining Li metal was removed and placed onto a lacey carbon TEM grid for analysis. The deposited grid was then used for cryogenic-TEM, STEM and EELS. All TEM characterizations were carried out using an aberration-corrected Titan Krios TEM operated at 300 kV. All cryo-TEM images were acquired at low temperature (77 K) under low dose condition (~7-10 e·Å⁻²·s⁻¹ × 10 s at counting model for high resolution TEM imaging) using a Falcon 3 camera.

Li | Li symmetric cell assembly and measurements

Li | Li symmetric cell composed of two identical lithium metal electrodes separated by a Celgard 2400 polypropylene (PP) membrane. Lithium foils were all 16.0 mm in diameter. On each side of the membrane, 20 uL of electrolyte was added. As-fabricated cells were galvanostatically cycled on neware battery cycler. Discharge and charge current density was 1 mA/cm², and areal capacity was 1 mAh/cm². Cells were set to automatically shut down when potential reached ± 5.0 V.



Fig. S1 The EIS plot of Li/Cu half-cells containing different electrolytes after three cycles.



Fig.S2 The EELS of Li and O K-edge acquired from the lithium, interface, SEI and surface in (EC/DEC) electrolyte respectively, the black line represent the surface and the purple line represent the lithium. **a**, the Li K-edge acquired from the different place on dendrite in (EC/DEC) electrolyte. **b**, the O K-edge acquired from the different place on dendrite in (EC/DEC) electrolyte. **c**, the location corresponding to the spectrum from (**a**) and (**b**).



Fig.S3 The EELS of Li and O K-edge acquired from the lithium, interface, SEI and surface in (EC/DEC+ 2%DTD) electrolyte respectively, the black line represent the surface and the purple line represent the lithium. **a**, the Li K-edge acquired from the different place on dendrite in (EC/DEC+ 2%DTD) electrolyte. **b**, the O K-edge acquired from the different place on dendrite in (EC/DEC+ 2%DTD) electrolyte. **c**, the location corresponding to the spectrum from (**a**) and (**b**).



Fig.S4 The EELS of Li and O K-edge acquired from the lithium, interface, SEI and surface in (EC/DEC+ 2%PS) electrolyte respectively, the black line represent the surface and the purple line represent the lithium. **a**, the Li K-edge acquired from the different place on dendrite in (EC/DEC+ 2%PS) electrolyte. **b**, the O K-edge acquired from the different place on dendrite in (EC/DEC+ 2%PS) electrolyte. **c**, the location corresponding to the spectrum from (**a**) and (**b**).



Fig.S5 EELS acquired from the amorphous phase in the SEL a, Li K-edge. b, S L-edge.

c, C *K*-edge. **d**, O *K*-edge. We can see clearly the EELS signature from Li, C, O, and S in the EELS. The Li K edge shows a oxidized signature and the C peaks shows the possible content of C=C, C-H, C=O, and C-O peaks.



Fig.S6 The EELS fine structures of Li K edge in the amorphous region and crystal region. The amorphous Li regions shows a more significant signature of oxidized Li peak, which is due to the higher content of oxygen or sulfur inside the amorphous Li metal. The two separate peaks in the red curve are related to the bonding between Li and O atoms.

Table S1 Calculated Gibbs free energy of different electrochemical reaction. ¹⁻⁴					
No.	Electrochemical reaction	$\Delta G/kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$			
1	$8Li + Li_2SO_4 = Li_2S + 4Li_2O$	-1362			

2 $25Li + 6Li_2CO_3 = LiC_6 + 18Li_2O$ -2653

Table S2 Gibbs free energy (kJ/mol) of reaction product.							
T/K	Li ₂ CO ₃	Li ₂ O	LiC ₆	Li_2SO_4	Li ₂ S		
298	-1242.9	-561.1	-10.5	-1321.5	-439.0		

References:

- Zu, C.-X. & Li, H. Thermodynamic analysis on energy densities of batteries. *Energy Environ.* Sci. 4, 2614-2624 (2011).
- 2. Shi, P. et al. Lithiophilic LiC6 Layers on Carbon Hosts Enabling Stable Li Metal Anode in Working Batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **31**, 1807131 (2019).

- 3. Ren, J., Lau, J., Lefler, M. & Licht, S. The Minimum Electrolytic Energy Needed To Convert Carbon Dioxide to Carbon by Electrolysis in Carbonate Melts. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **119**, 23342-23349 (2015).
- 4. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.