



## Graphene on boron-nitride: Moiré pattern in the van der Waals energy

M. Neek-Amal and F. M. Peeters

Citation: Applied Physics Letters **104**, 041909 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4863661 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4863661 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/104/4?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

## Articles you may be interested in

Spintronics with graphene-hexagonal boron nitride van der Waals heterostructures Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 212405 (2014); 10.1063/1.4902814

Band gap and effective mass of multilayer BN/graphene/BN: van der Waals density functional approach J. Appl. Phys. **115**, 194304 (2014); 10.1063/1.4876336

A cohesive law for interfaces in graphene/hexagonal boron nitride heterostructure J. Appl. Phys. **115**, 144308 (2014); 10.1063/1.4870825

Observation and interpretation of adjacent Moire patterns of different shapes in bilayer graphene Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 073104 (2011); 10.1063/1.3624703

Minimum thermal conductance in graphene and boron nitride superlattice Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 043109 (2011); 10.1063/1.3619832

## Instruments for Advanced Science IDEN Gas Analysis Surface Science **Plasma Diagnostics** Contact Hiden Analytical for further details: Vacuum Analysis partial pressure measurement and control www.HidenAnalytical.com dynamic measurement of reaction gas streams ▶ UHV TPD plasma source characterization > catalysis and thermal analysis etch and deposition process reaction of process gases IMS INS ■ info@hiden.co.uk kinetic studies ▶ reactive sputter process control Molecular beam studies end point detection in ion beam etch vacuum diagnostics vacuum coating process monitoring analysis of neutral and radical species dissolved species probes elemental imaging - surface mapping CLICK TO VIEW our product catalogue fermentation, environmental and ecological studies

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP: 18.140.1.5 On: Thu. 26 Feb 2015 01:51:01



## Graphene on boron-nitride: Moiré pattern in the van der Waals energy

M. Neek-Amal<sup>1,2</sup> and F. M. Peeters<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Antwerpen, Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerpen, Belgium <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Shahid Rajaee University, Lavizan, Tehran 16788, Iran

(Received 14 December 2013; accepted 13 January 2014; published online 30 January 2014)

The spatial dependence of the van der Waals (vdW) energy between graphene and hexagonal boron-nitride (h-BN) is investigated using atomistic simulations. The van der Waals energy between graphene and h-BN shows a hexagonal superlattice structure identical to the observed Moiré pattern in the local density of states, which depends on the lattice mismatch and misorientation angle between graphene and h-BN. Our results provide atomistic features of the weak van der Waals interaction between graphene and BN which are in agreement with experiment and provide an analytical expression for the size of the spatial variation of the weak van der Waals interaction. We also found that the A-B-lattice symmetry of graphene is broken along the armchair direction. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4863661]

Two-dimensional (2D) atomic crystals, such as graphene (GE), hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN), and molybdenum disulphide, have gained recently a lot of interest both experimentally and theoretically.<sup>1,2</sup> The possibility of producing heterostructures and devices made by stacking different 2D crystals on top of each other is another interesting research area. The in-plane strong covalent bonds provide in-plane stability for those 2D crystals whereas stacked layers are held together by the weak van der Waals-like forces.<sup>1</sup>

On the other hand, graphene's carrier mobility when deposited on a SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate is limited due to scattering on substrate roughness, charged surface states, and impurities.<sup>2</sup> Alternatives for  $SiO_2$  have been typically other oxides imposing similar surface effects and problems.<sup>3</sup> However, it was found that h-BN is an ideal substrate dielectric which is atomically flat and improved graphene's mobility by more than two orders of magnitude. The B-N bond length is close to that of C-C with only a very small (1.6%-2%) lattice mismatch.<sup>4</sup> Different on-site energies for the B and N atoms lead to a large band gap in h-BN which differs from the zero gap in graphene.<sup>5</sup> These dielectric properties of h-BN are comparable with that of SiO<sub>2</sub> making h-BN a promising alternative substrate for graphene with improved hightemperature and high-electric field performance. The latter is due to almost twice larger surface optical phonon frequency of h-BN than similar modes in SiO<sub>2</sub>.

Moiré patterns are observed in aligned graphene on h-BN. It was found that graphene flakes can align with the underlying h-BN lattice within an error of less than  $0.05^{\circ}$ .<sup>4,6</sup> The sizable Moiré superstructure pattern has a periodicity<sup>4</sup> much larger than the lattice constant of both graphene and h-BN, i.e.,  $\simeq 140$  Å. *Ab-initio* and semi-empirical van der Waals studies showed that the interaction between the small graphene flakes and the h-BN substrate is similar to that of a graphene-graphene stacked structure. The latter is deduced from the absence of net charge transfer between graphene and the h-BN layer.<sup>7</sup>

The mismatch between the honeycomb lattice structures of GE and h-BN leads to long wavelength Moiré patterns, which requires large size unit cells that are unattainable with *ab-initio* calculations. Earlier density functional theory calculations assumed lattice matching between graphene and h-BN which induces strain and opens a gap in graphene's spectrum of 4 meV (Ref. 8), which was not observed experimentally.<sup>9</sup> In previous works, the Moiré pattern in GE/h-BN was connected to the van der Waals (vdW) interaction, but a clear theoretical microscopic analysis is still missing. We use molecular modeling and atomistic simulations with very large computational unit cells to study quantitative aspects of the connection between the vdW interaction and the Moiré pattern. Our results agree with recently reported experiments on the Moiré pattern in the LDOS of graphene on h-BN.

In order to include the vdW interaction between GE and h-BN, we use a Lennard–Jones (LJ) potential which models both the short range repulsive and long range attractive nature of the interaction between two particles. The LJ potential is a widely used potential in various simulations for two interacting particles, i.e.,  $u(r) = 4\varepsilon[(\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^6)]$ , where r is the distance between two atoms,  $\varepsilon$  is the depth of the potential well, and  $\sigma$  is the distance at which the potential becomes zero. To model the interaction between B, N, and C atoms, we adjust the LJ parameters using the equations  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j}$  and  $\sigma = (\sigma_i + \sigma_j)/2$  where *i*, *j* refer to B, N, or C and where  $\sigma_C = 3.369$  Å,  $\sigma_B = 3.453$  Å,  $\sigma_N = 3.365$  Å,  $\varepsilon_C = 2.635$  meV,  $\varepsilon_B = 4.16$  meV, and  $\varepsilon_N = 6.281$  meV.<sup>10,11</sup> The total vdW-energy of GE/h-BN has contributions both from B and N atoms, i.e.,  $U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} u(r_{ij})$ .

First, we calculate the vdW energy of a single C atom over a h-BN sheet at zero temperature which is depicted in Fig. 1(a) where the C atom is located at z = 3.4 Å above h-BN. The honeycomb lattice structure of the energy surface shows that a single C atom will be preferentially adsorbed onto the hollow sites. However, since the depth of the wells are about 2 meV (which is negligible in comparison to the thermal energy at room temperature, i.e., 25 meV), the motion of a single C atom will be diffusive.<sup>12</sup> In Fig. 1(b), three cross sections of Fig. 1(a) along the zigzag (i.e., y-axis where x = 0.0 and 0.725 Å) and armchair (i.e., x-axis, where y = -0.625 Å) directions are shown. It is seen that the potential profiles are different periodic functions. The variation of the total vdW energy of C/h-BN with height above the N



FIG. 1. (a) The van der Waals energy surface of the interaction between a single C atom and a h-BN sheet. (b) Cross sections along the *y*-axis with x = 0.0 Å (blue-solid) and x = 0.725 Å (red-dashed) and along the *x*-axis with y = -0.625 Å (black-dotted-dashed). The symbols "B" and "N" in (b) refer to the position of B and N atoms shown in (a). (c) The vdW energy variation along z-axis above B (red-dashed curve) and N (blue-solid curve) atom.

(and B) site is shown in Fig. 1(c). The minimum energy, i.e., -58.12 meV (-58.09 meV) is at  $z \approx 3.392 \text{ Å} (z \approx 3.395 \text{ Å})$ .

Next, we study the vdW energy of a GE sheet over a h-BN substrate. The small mismatch between the two lattices implies that it is necessary to take a very large unit cell. One can simply estimate the superlattice size by solving the equation  $m\vec{b}_{GE} = n\vec{b}_BN$  for two integer numbers *m* and *n*, where  $\vec{b}_{BN}$  and  $\vec{b}_{GE}$  are lattice vectors of h-BN and GE, respectively. We use two different sets of common bond lengths, i.e.,  $a_{BN} = 1.45$  Å (1.44 Å) and  $a_{CC} = 1.42$  Å for the h-BN and GE sheets,<sup>4,5</sup> respectively, which results in a lattice mismatch of  $\delta = 1 - \frac{a_{CC}}{a_{BN}} = 0.021$  ( $\delta = 0.014$ ). Furthermore, the two lattices may have different orientation, which is determined by the misorientation angle  $\theta$ . The difference between the two wave vectors of the graphene and h-BN lattices leads to the appearance of a hexagonal superlattice structure, i.e., Moiré pattern with length

$$L = \frac{a_{CC}}{\sqrt{2(1-\delta)(1-\cos(\theta))+\delta^2}}.$$
 (1)

From the above values for  $a_{CC}$  and  $a_{BN}$ , one can estimate m/n = 48/47(72/71) for  $\theta = 0$  which results in a superlattice with honeycomb structure with side  $L = m a_{CC} \approx 68$  Å (102 Å). Thus, the total number of atoms within such a unit cell, e.g., for (m = 48, n = 47) becomes  $N = 2[(\frac{L}{a_{CC}})^2 + (\frac{L}{a_{BN}})^2] = 8985$  (and 20216 for m = 72, n = 71) which is beyond the ability of *ab-initio* methods.

X (Å)

-58.4 -57.6 meV - 59.8 -60.6 200 100 Y(Ă) 0 -100 а -200 -100 200 -200 0 100 -200 -100 0 100 200

Figure 2 shows density plots of the vdW energy surface per atom, i.e., for the *ith* C atom, we calculated  $U_i(x_i, y_i) = \sum_j u(r_{ij})$ , where the summation is taken over all B and N atoms with (a)  $a_{BN} = 1.45$  Å and (b)  $a_{BN} = 1.44$  Å where in both cases  $\theta = 0^\circ$ . It is interesting that the honeycomb lattice structure in the vdW energy surface has lattice sides equal to 68 Å for (a) and 102 Å for (b) when the lattice mismatch is  $\simeq 2\%$  and  $\simeq 1.4\%$ , respectively. These results are in agreement with the hexagonal structure for the Moiré pattern reported in a recent experiment,<sup>4</sup> i.e.,  $14/\sqrt{3} = 80.9$  Å.

Moreover, the depth of the energy wells are about that found for C/h-BN, but the periodicity is very different. When we rotate the GE sheet over h-BN and recalculate the vdW energy, we find a  $\pi/3$  rotational symmetry. Therefore, the global minimum energy of GE/h-BN occurs for  $\theta = n\pi/3$  with *n* an integer number. Two cross sections of the energy surface of Fig. 2(a) in two perpendicular directions are shown in Fig. 3(a) (along zig-zag direction where x = L/2, L = 68 Å) and Fig. 3(b) (armchair direction where y = 1.84 Å). It is seen that the vdW energy varies sinus-like along the zigzag direction (with a wavelength of about 120 Å) while it shows a more complex behavior along the armchair direction. Notice that the energy along the armchair direction depends on the A-lattice and B-lattice cites of the graphene lattice, i.e., for a fix y value  $U_i(x_A) = U_i(x_B + L)$ , see inset of Fig. 2(b). Therefore, the vdW interaction breaks the A-B symmetry of the graphene sheet along the armchair direction while along the zig-zag direction we found  $U_i(y_A) = U_i(y_B)$ .

FIG. 2. The vdW energy landscape of graphene on h-BN with lattice mismatch (a) 2% and (b) 1.4%. The hexagonal lattice has side (a) 68 Å and (b) 102 Å.

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP: 18.140.1. On: Thu. 26 Feb 2015 01:51:01

X (Å)



FIG. 3. Cross sections of the energy landscape of Fig. 2(a): (a) along zig-zag direction (where x = L,L/2) and (b) along armchair direction (where y = 1.84 Å). The inset in (b) indicates two sets of sites shown by circle and triangle resulting in the two different energy curves.

The effect of the misorientation ( $\theta$ ) on the vdW superlattice structure is shown in Fig. 4 for  $a_{BN} = 1.45$  Å. By changing  $\theta$  from zero to 5°, the vdW superlattice structure rotates over an angle

$$\Phi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sin[\theta]}{\cos[\theta] + \delta - 1} \right), \tag{2}$$

with respect to the h-BN sheet.

The Fourier transform (FT) of  $U_i$  (Fig. 2(a)) is shown in Fig. 5. The seven large Fourier components in the FT of  $U_i$ motivates us to approximate the Moiré pattern in the vdW energy by an analytical potential function. In analogy with the modulation function used in Refs. 4 and 13 to describe the low energy spectrum of graphene's Dirac electron, i.e.,  $\hat{H} = v_F \vec{p}.\vec{\sigma} + V_0 f(\vec{r})\hat{I}$ , where  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity,  $\vec{\sigma}$  is a vector of Pauli matrices. We used six reciprocal lattice vectors,  $\vec{G}_m = \Re_{\phi_m} \vec{G}_0$  with m = 0, 1, ...5, where  $\vec{G}_0 = \frac{\delta}{(1-\delta)} (\frac{4\pi}{3 a_{CC}}, 0)$  the modulation function can be written as  $f(\vec{r}) = \sum_m e^{i\vec{G}_m.\vec{r}}$ , where  $\Re_{\phi_m}$  is the rotation matrix about the *z*-axis over an angle  $\phi_m = \frac{2\pi m}{6}$ . The modulation function can be simplified, and we found for the variation of the vdW energy

$$\Delta U = U - U_0$$
  
=  $u_0 [\cos(G_0 x) + 2\cos(G_0 x/2)\cos(\sqrt{3}G_0 y/2)].$  (3)

where  $U_0 = -58.3 \text{ meV}$  is the offset energy and  $u_0 = 0.5 \text{ meV}$  is the depth of the potential. The corresponding force on each carbon atom due to the interaction with the h-BN sheet can be written as

$$\vec{F}(x,y) = u_0 G_0 [\sqrt{3} \cos(G_0 x/2) \sin(\sqrt{3} G_0 y/2) \hat{x} + (\sin(G_0 x/2) \cos(\sqrt{3} G_0 y/2) + \sin(G_0 x)) \hat{y}].$$
(4)

In Figs. 5(b) and 5(c), we compare the results of our analytical approximation (i.e.,  $\Delta U$  shown by solid lines) along the zig-zag (x = L/2) (b) and armchair (y = 1.84 Å) (c) directions with our simulation results (symbols). The numerical results are in close agreement with our atomistic simulation. Therefore, this analytical expression can be useful to calculate different properties of graphene over h-BN sheet.

In order to check the accuracy of our atomistic simulations, we calculated the total vdW energy stored between GE and h-BN as function of the inter-sheet distance. Our



FIG. 4. The Moiré patterns in the vdW energy for different misorientation angles  $\theta$ . The angle between the h-BN lattice and the Moiré lattice is  $\Phi$ . The shown areas are  $(200 \times 200) \text{ Å}^2$  in size.

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP: 18.140.1.



FIG. 5. (a) The Fourier transform of the vdW energy surface shown in Fig. 2(a). ((b) and (c)) Comparison between numerical results (symbols) and analytical results Eq. (3) (solid curve) for both zig-zag (b) and arm-chair directions (c) where x = L/2 and y = 1.84 Å, respectively.

classical pair wise potential gives an equilibrium distance of 3.38 Å that is very close to previous first principal calculations for the adhesion energy,<sup>8</sup> i.e., 3.35 Å (the distance for the unit cell which gives the lowest energy (type IV in Ref. 8)). The minimum energy of  $\approx 60 \text{ meV}$  is also equal to the reported energy obtained by using discrete Fourier transform calculations.<sup>14,15</sup>

In summary, the vdW interaction between GE and h-BN was investigated for large size GE samples over h-BN. We found that the vdW energy surface exhibits a superlattice structure with size in the range of 68–102 Å depending on the lattice mismatch which is in agreement with recently measured Moiré superlattice size of 80 Å. The used model for the vdW interaction enables us to perform atomistic simulations for large sample GE/h-BN and enabled us to present an analytical approximate expression for the spatial varying vdW energy. We found that the A-B sublattice symmetry in graphene is broken along the arm-chair direction. Our atomistic results for the total vdW energy between graphene and a BN sheet are in agreement with recent DFT calculations. The present study provides more physical insights on the weak interaction between graphene and a hexagonal boron nitride sheet.

This work was supported by the Flemish Science Foundation (FWO-VI) and the Methusalem Foundation of the Flemish Government. M.N.-A was supported by the EU-Marie Curie IIF postdoctoral Fellowship/299855. <sup>1</sup>A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, Nature **499**, 419 (2013).

- <sup>2</sup>C. R. Dean, A. F. Young, I. Meric, C. Lee, L. Wang, S. Sorgenfrei, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Kim, K. L. Shepard, and J. Hone, Nat. Nanotechnol. 5, 722 (2010).
- <sup>3</sup>J.-H. Chen, C. Jang, S. Xiao, M. Ishigami, and M. S. Fuhrer, Nat. Nanotechnol. **3**, 206 (2008).
- <sup>4</sup>S. Tang, H. Wang, Y. Zhang, A. Li, H. Xie, X. Liu, L. Liu, T. Li, F. Huang, X. Xie, and M. Jiang, Sci. Rep. **3**, 2666 (2013).
- <sup>5</sup>J. Beheshtian, A. Sadeghi, M. Neek-Amal, K. H. Michel, and F. M. Peeters, Phys. Rev. B 86, 195433 (2012).
- <sup>6</sup>M. Yankowitz, J. Xue, D. Cormode, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Jarillo-Herrero, P. Jacquod, and B. J. LeRoy, Nature Phys. **8**, 382 (2012).
- <sup>7</sup>V. Caciuc, N. Atodiresei, M. Callsen, P. Lazic, and S. Blüge, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 424214 (2012).
- <sup>8</sup>B. Sachs, T. O. Wehling, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. I. Lichetensteinn, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195414 (2011); M. Zarenia, O. Leenaerts, B. Partoens, and F. M. Peeters, *ibid.* 86, 085451 (2012).
- <sup>9</sup>J. Xue, J. Sanchez-Yamagishi, D. Bulmash, P. Jacquod, A. Deshpande, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and B. J. LeRoy, Nature Mater. 10, 282 (2011); L. A. Ponomarenko, R. V. Gorbachev, G. L. Yu, D. C. Elias, R. Jalil, A. A. Patel, A. Mishchenko, A. S. Mayorov, C. R. Woods, J. R. Wallbank, M. Mucha-Kruczynski, B. A. Piot, M. Potemski, I. V. Grigorieva, K. S. Novoselov, F. Guinea, V. I. Fal'ko, and A. K. Geim, Nature 497, 594 (2013).
- <sup>10</sup>D. Baowan and J. M. Hill, Micro Nano Lett. 2, 46 (2007).
- <sup>11</sup>J. Lee, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **49**, 172 (2006).
- <sup>12</sup>M. Neek-Amal and A. Lajevardipour, Comput. Mater. Sci. 49, 839 (2010).
- <sup>13</sup>J. R. Wallbank, A. A. Patel, M. Mucha-Kruczynski, A. K. Geim, and V. I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. B 87, 245408 (2013).
- <sup>14</sup>G. Giovannetti, P. A. Khomyakov, G. Brocks, P. J. Kelly, and J. van den Brink, Phys. Rev. B 76, 073103 (2007).
- <sup>15</sup>Y. Fan, M. Zhao, Z. Wang, X. Zhang, and H. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 98, 083103 (2011).